

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

DG 10-041

Integrated Resource Plan

Staff Responses to ENGI Set 1

ORIGINAL
N.H.P.U.C. Case No. <u>DG 10-041</u>
Exhibit No. <u>#9</u>
Witness <u>Panel # 2</u>
DO NOT REMOVE FROM FILE

Date Received: October 15, 2010

Date of Response: December 28, 2010

Request: National Grid 1-1

Witness: George McCluskey

REQUEST:

Ref. p. 9, ll 19-21. Please identify any supply and/or capacity contracts that Mr. McCluskey believes should be replaced or eliminated upon expiration.

RESPONSE:

It is not Mr. McCluskey responsibility to identify supply or capacity contracts that are candidates for replacement or elimination. Although that responsibility rests with the Company, Mr. McCluskey testified that with one exception the filing failed to investigate this issue.

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

DG 10-041

Integrated Resource Plan

Staff Responses to ENGI Set 1

Date Received: October 15, 2010

Date of Response: December 28, 2010

Request: National Grid 1-3

Witness: George McCluskey

REQUEST:

Ref. p. 12, ll. 7-9. Is it Staff's position that the Company should "commit at this time to eliminating the excess [capacity]"? If not, please explain.

RESPONSE:

No. Mr. McCluskey's position on this issue is set forth at page 7, lines 19-22 of his testimony.

EnergyNorth Natural Gas, Inc.

DG 10-041

Integrated Resource Plan

Staff Responses to ENGI Set 1

Date Received: October 15, 2010

Date of Response: December 28, 2010

Request: National Grid 1-28

Witness: George McCluskey

REQUEST:

Is it Mr. McCluskey's position that the Company should eliminate some or all of the capacity that he has determined to be excess? If not, what is it that he proposes the Company should do to address the excess capacity he claims exists?

RESPONSE:

See the response to ENGI 1-3 above for Mr. McCluskey's recommendation on the excess capacity issue.